Influencer Claim Lab

Flat-earth content on X tends to repeat a small number of claim patterns across different personalities. This lab treats those posts as prompts, not as enemies: state the claim, identify the implied model, ask what it predicts, and compare it with observations ordinary readers can check.

Why Target Influencer Claims?

Popular accounts matter because they compress long arguments into shareable hooks. A single phrase such as “we see too far” or “water finds its level” can travel farther than a careful explanation. The answer is not to sneer; it is to turn the hook back into a testable claim.

Accounts and Content Streams Worth Watching

- **Eric Dubay / IFERS:** “200 proofs,” NASA fakery, no curvature, fake space, local Sun/Moon, and anti-mainstream “zetetic” framing.
- **Flat Earth Dave:** Sun, Moon and Zodiac Clock app, geocentric flat-earth framing, “we can see too far,” local luminaries, and religious/cosmological messaging.
- **Mark Sargent:** dome/enclosure narratives, “clues,” staged space claims, Antarctica as barrier, and expert-interview storytelling.
- **Nathan Thompson:** street activism, Bible flat-earth claims, NASA denial, and “you’ve been lied to” messaging.
- **Austin Witsit:** debate clips, aether/cosmology language, anti-heliocentric framing, and technical-sounding critiques of astronomy.
- **Flat Earth Society:** older “zetetic” material, universal acceleration, forums/wiki resources, and a wide range of mutually inconsistent flat-earth schools.

The Repeated Pattern

1. **Start with an intuition:** “The ground looks flat,” “water looks level,” “I do not feel motion.”
2. **Turn intuition into certainty:** ordinary scale impressions are treated as global geometry.
3. **Reject conflicting evidence as institutional fraud:** space agencies, universities, observatories, pilots, sailors, surveyors, telecom engineers, and amateur astronomers are grouped into one vague deception.
4. **Avoid full-model predictions:** many posts attack the globe without giving a flat model that predicts sun angles, stars, eclipses, routes, distances, tides, and satellite behavior together.

What This Lab Will Do

Each page will isolate a claim family, show the strongest simple version of the claim, identify the test it must pass, and then compare predictions. If an influencer offers a tool or diagram, the question becomes: does it predict reality, or only visualize a belief?

Start Here

- Flat Earth Dave's Clock App: Visualization vs Prediction
- Eric Dubay's 200 Proofs: The Repeated Claim Patterns
- We See Too Far: Curvature, Refraction, and Hidden Amount
- Local Sun Model: The Tests It Cannot Pass

Model Scorecards: Next Targets

The lab now includes a second tier of direct scorecards for claims that go beyond simple memes and try to imply alternate world structure.

- Flat Map Distance Problem: Routes, South Hemisphere, and AE Projection
- Mark Sargent's Dome and Antarctica Claims: Story vs Measurement
- Austin Witsit and Technical Cosmology: Aether, Stars, and Predictions
- Nathan Thompson's Street Claims: From Confrontation to Testable Claim
- Flat Earth Society's Universal Acceleration: The Gravity Replacement Problem

Shareable Rebuttal Cards

The influencer lab now has a fast-response layer: generate a compact rebuttal card, browse common claim cards, or use the X Reply Playbook.

Revision #3
Created 2026-04-27 18:20:33 UTC by Daniel
Updated 2026-04-27 23:16:41 UTC by Daniel