

# Debunking Claims

- [Debunking Myths](#)
- [Feeling Earth's Spin](#)
- [Absurdity Counters](#)
- [Horizon and Curvature Claims](#)
- [Water Finds Its Level](#)
- [Time Zones and Solar Noon](#)
- [Economics of a Hypothetical Globe Deception](#)
- [Solar Noon Longitude Challenge](#)
- [Satellite and Signal Reality Check](#)
- [Influencer Claim Lab](#)
- [Flat Earth Dave's Clock App: Visualization vs Prediction](#)
- [Eric Dubay's 200 Proofs: The Repeated Claim Patterns](#)
- [We See Too Far: Curvature, Refraction, and Hidden Amount](#)
- [Local Sun Model: The Tests It Cannot Pass](#)
- [Flat Earth Influencer Themes and Direct Tests](#)
- [Flat Map Distance Problem: Routes, South Hemisphere, and AE Projection](#)
- [Mark Sargent's Dome and Antarctica Claims: Story vs Measurement](#)
- [Austin Witsit and Technical Cosmology: Aether, Stars, and Predictions](#)
- [Nathan Thompson's Street Claims: From Confrontation to Testable Claim](#)
- [Flat Earth Society's Universal Acceleration: The Gravity Replacement Problem](#)

# Debunking Myths

We're dissecting conspiracies, logical fallacies, and all sorts of wild tales with the scalpel of science and a sprinkle of humor!

Part of the flat Earth fallacy involves uncritically accepting certain forms of discovery that impact day-to-day life, such as battery technology, while simultaneously denying other forms of discovery that have withstood centuries of scrutiny.

Indeed, there have been attempts to shortcut the scientific process for profit. However, the true scientific process is extremely time-consuming and rigorous. It is founded on intense levels of critique, continuous peer reviews, and sacrifices made by generations of scientists. This is precisely the context in which the heliocentric model was developed.

If you wish to argue against a globe shaped earth and the heliocentric model, you must refute 500 years of real observational data and analysis. This involves discrediting the work of tens of thousands of scientists across a myriad of disciplines, including astronomy and astrophysics, geology and geophysics, geography and cartography, meteorology and climate science, oceanography and hydrology, as well as physics and engineering.

Let's talk about everything from flat earth presuppositions such as presupposing a very tiny universe and many others including but not limited to:

**Selective Observation:** Flat Earthers often cherry-pick observations that seem to support their view while ignoring a vast amount of evidence to the contrary.

**Misunderstanding of Physics:** Misinterpretations of basic physics, such as gravity and the properties of light and movement, are common. How gravity works and why gravity works are two very different things and need to be distinguished.

**Conspiracy Theorizing:** Many Flat Earth arguments are rooted in the belief of a vast global conspiracy by governments and scientists to conceal the "truth" about the Earth's shape.

**Confusing Perspective with Reality:** The argument that objects such as ships disappearing over the horizon are due to perspective rather than the curvature of the Earth.

**Denial of Space Exploration:** Disbelief in space exploration achievements like moon landings, satellite imagery, and photos of the Earth from space.

**Misunderstanding Scale:** Failing to comprehend the scale of the Earth and how its curvature appears from a human perspective.

**Appeal to Ancient Beliefs:** Citing ancient or historical beliefs in a flat Earth as evidence that modern science is wrong.

**Misinterpretation of Air Travel Routes:** The belief that certain airline routes would be different if the Earth were round.

**Ignoring the Consistency of Scientific Predictions:** Overlooking how the round Earth model consistently predicts natural phenomena like eclipses, seasons, and weather patterns.

**Equating Feeling with Fact:** The argument that because the Earth "feels" flat when we walk on it, it must be flat.

## Horizon & Curvature Calculator

---

Use this calculator to test common horizon and curvature claims. It estimates horizon distance, geometric drop, and how much of a distant target should be hidden from the bottom up.

<https://wiki.flatearthabsurdity.com/tools/curvature-calculator/>

*If the tool does not appear, open it directly at </tools/curvature-calculator/>.*

# Feeling Earth's Spin

## Just Another Straw Man

---

The flat Earth claim that we should feel the Earth's spin is a classic straw man fallacy. Flat Earth proponents often share diagrams depicting high speeds at the Earth's surface, suggesting that these speeds should be impossible because we would supposedly feel such motion. While these surface speeds are correct, the argument fails to acknowledge a basic distinction we encounter daily: the difference between velocity and acceleration.

Consider the experience of being a passenger on a commercial jet compared to riding in a car leisurely cruising down the road. With eyes closed could a passenger distinguish between them? The reason they feel similar is that the sensation of motion isn't determined by how fast you are going but arises only when there is a change in speed or direction. For instance, the pull back into your seat when accelerating quickly in a car or the sideways force felt when taking a roundabout too fast are real-life examples of how we actually perceive motion.

Speed does however play a part in what we feel when combined with a change of direction. Imagine driving into a roundabout at a high speed of 100 km/h. The outcome is predictable: unless the vehicle has the grip of a Formula 1 racer, it will likely pull the car off the road as the tires lose traction. Now, contrast this with entering the same roundabout at a much slower speed of 20 km/h. At this lower speed, there is only a gentle pull away from the center.

There is of course more than just speed that effects what we feel. Now, think about driving along a wide, gentle curve on a traffic-free freeway while maintaining a constant speed of 100 km/h. What do you notice about the forces acting on you? In this case, you experience only a mild pull away from the curve's center, similar to the sensation when navigating a roundabout at a lower speed. This gentle force is due to the larger radius of the curve.

Let's scale this up to a cosmic level — our planet Earth, with surface speeds at the equator comparable to a passenger jet. Shouldn't we, as passengers on this enormous rotating sphere, feel its immense spin? If that were the case, how would we determine the intensity of this grand rotation?

As it rotates the earth is like a roundabout so vast that it takes 24 hours to complete a full circuit. This is precisely why we don't feel the Earth's rotation. The speeds involved are negligible in comparison to the Earth's massive radius. Although the effect is small, it does exist, as explained

by Newton's laws of motion. This phenomenon, known as the Coriolis effect, is represented by the formula  $F_c = Mv^2/R$ , where  $M$  is mass,  $v$  is velocity, and  $R$  is radius.

On Earth's enormous scale, the vast radius results in extremely subtle forces, far too gentle for us to notice in our everyday experience. The sheer size of our planet, combined with the consistency of its motion, makes the sensation of its spin virtually imperceptible.

Another flaw in the flat Earth argument is the failure to acknowledge that Earth's atmosphere moves largely in sync with the planet as a connected system. The atmosphere is held to the Earth by gravity and interacts with the surface through friction, creating a cohesive system where both the ground and the surrounding air rotate together. Because of this shared motion, we don't feel any rushing wind or sense a 'whoosh' of air — there is no relative motion between us and the atmosphere. It's similar to sitting inside a moving car with the windows closed: you don't feel the air rushing past because it moves with you. In the same way, the Earth's atmosphere acts as a protective shell, rotating alongside us, which is why we don't notice its motion in our daily lives.

## Force Calculator

---

This calculator estimates the tiny centrifugal effect caused by Earth's rotation. It shows why the "we should feel the spin" argument confuses speed with acceleration: even at high surface speed, Earth's enormous radius makes the acceleration very small.

<https://wiki.flatearthabsurdity.com/tools/earth-spin-force-calculator/>

*If the calculator does not appear, open it directly at </tools/earth-spin-force-calculator/>.*

# Absurdity Counters

This is where the absurdity reaches new heights.

To highlight the implausibility of a flat earth, let's use counters to display the estimated number of professionals and students in various fields of applied science worldwide who would need to continuously falsify their published data and clandestinely conceal the actual data to maintain a widespread conspiracy that the Earth is spherical.

Learn about each field, what kind of data is collected, and even connect with some of these brilliant hard working individuals yourself!

## Fields Involved in the Absurd Hypothetical Deception

---

Let's assume that the whole world was in on the secret. Here's what a hypothetical worldwide deception would look like across a myriad of disciplines.

**Astronomy and Astrophysics:** The most evident domain of deception. Every celestial observation and theory, from the movement of planets to the behavior of distant galaxies, would have to be fabricated or misinterpreted to support the spherical Earth model. This would involve tens of thousands of professionals, including astronomers, astrophysicists, and space agency employees globally.

**Geology and Geophysics:** These fields study the Earth's structure, including its supposed spherical shape. To uphold the spherical Earth illusion, all geological data and theories, such as plate tectonics and volcanic activity, would need to be distorted. This would affect over a hundred thousand geologists and related scientists.

**Geography and Cartography:** Every map, atlas, and geographic tool would be part of the deceit. Cartographers and geographers, numbering in the tens of thousands, would be tasked with consistently misrepresenting the Earth's flat surface as a globe.

**Meteorology and Climate Science:** Weather patterns and climate models, based on a spherical Earth, would need complete reconstruction. This impacts thousands of meteorologists and climate scientists who would have to fabricate data to fit the false model.

**Oceanography and Hydrology:** Understanding of oceans, tides, and water cycles would be based on false premises. Oceanographers and hydrologists, in the tens of thousands, would have to manipulate data about sea levels and oceanic phenomena.

**Physics and Engineering:** Fundamental principles of physics, especially those related to gravity, would be incorrect. Engineers and physicists, numbering in the hundreds of thousands, would need to apply these incorrect principles in everything from construction to technology development.

**Education and Academia:** Educators at all levels, from primary school teachers to university professors, would perpetuate the spherical Earth concept. This could involve millions of individuals worldwide.

# Mechanisms of Coordination and Suppression

---

**Central Coordination Body:** An incredibly secretive and powerful organization would need to exist to coordinate this global deception. This body would oversee the manipulation of scientific data, educational content, and public information.

**Control of Information and Publications:** Scientific journals, textbooks, and media would be under strict control to ensure consistency in the spherical Earth narrative. This would require collusion with publishers, editors, and media executives.

**Surveillance and Suppression:** Whistleblowers and dissenters would pose a significant threat. A sophisticated system of surveillance and suppression would be necessary to silence or discredit anyone attempting to reveal the truth.

**Technology and Equipment Tampering:** Equipment used in scientific experiments and observations, such as telescopes, satellites, and GPS systems, would need to be rigged or provide falsified data.

**Training and Indoctrination:** Professionals in relevant fields would undergo rigorous indoctrination and training to ensure they adhere to the false narrative, either knowingly or

unknowingly.

**Global Conspiratorial Network:** This would require a vast network of collaborators across nations and cultures, necessitating unprecedented levels of secrecy and loyalty.

**Psychological Operations:** Public perception would be constantly shaped through sophisticated psychological operations to maintain belief in a spherical Earth, employing tactics from misinformation to social engineering.

## Economics of a Hypothetical Globe Earth Deception

---

Space X is rapidly ramping up rocket launches driven by paying customers. Many of these customers design small satellites which are part of a research project involving hundreds or even thousands of professionals, dozens of universities and tens of thousands of students.

## Economic Reality Check

---

The strongest version of the “everyone is hiding the globe deception” claim has to explain incentives, not just secrecy. Scientists, pilots, surveyors, satellite operators, teachers, sailors, engineers, hobby astronomers, private companies, and rival governments do not share one payroll, one ideology, or one chain of command.

A sustainable deception would need to survive competition. If the globe model were false, there would be enormous rewards for the first country, company, university, journalist, or insider to expose the true model. That is why the question is not only “Could someone fake a picture?” but “Could millions of independent decisions, contracts, measurements, products, observations, and rival interests all stay aligned around the same false geometry?”

For a deeper breakdown, see [Economics of a Hypothetical Globe Deception](#).

# Horizon and Curvature Claims

Horizon and curvature arguments are popular because they feel intuitive: the world looks flat from ordinary human height. The problem is scale. Earth is large enough that curvature is subtle locally but measurable over distance.

## Core Claim

“I can see too far, therefore Earth is flat.”

## What Has to Be Known

- Observer height above the water or ground
- Target height
- Distance to the target
- Atmospheric refraction conditions
- Camera zoom, lens distortion and whether the bottom of the target is visible

## The Bottom-First Test

On a globe, distant objects should become hidden from the bottom up as they pass beyond the horizon. This is why the lower parts of ships, buildings or mountains can be hidden while the upper parts remain visible.

## Why Refraction Matters

Atmospheric refraction can bend light and reveal more or less than simple geometry predicts. That does not remove curvature; it means careful observations must account for air temperature, pressure gradients and viewing conditions.

## Useful Rule

A single photo is rarely enough. A strong horizon observation includes measurements, repeatability and a prediction made before the shot.

## Observation Recipe: Distant Shoreline or Building

Pick a target with a known height, such as a lighthouse, skyline building, island peak, or bridge tower. Record your observer height above the water, the distance to the target, the date and time, and weather conditions. Take photos from more than one height if possible.

**Prediction:** on a globe, lowering the camera should hide more of the target from the bottom upward. Raising the camera should recover hidden portions. Refraction may shift the exact amount, but it should not make height irrelevant.

## Common Mistakes

- **Zoom confusion:** zoom can enlarge what is already visible; it cannot restore parts hidden below the geometric horizon.
- **Missing target height:** “I can see it” is incomplete unless you know how tall it is and which parts are visible.
- **Ignoring refraction:** unusual air layers can bend light. Repeat observations beat one dramatic image.

## Claim Lab Question

If the Earth is flat, what should happen to the bottom of a distant object as the observer lowers the camera? If the Earth is spherical, what should happen? The value of the test is that those answers are different.

# Water Finds Its Level

“Water finds its level” is one of the most common flat-earth slogans. It sounds practical because it borrows language from construction and everyday experience, but it changes meaning when applied at planetary scale.

## What Level Means

In surveying and construction, level means perpendicular to the local direction of gravity. A carpenter’s level does not define a universal cosmic plane; it defines a local tangent plane.

## Why Oceans Curve

Earth’s gravity pulls matter toward Earth’s center. The ocean surface settles into an equipotential surface, meaning a surface where water has no reason to flow sideways. Locally that surface is level; globally it curves around Earth.

## The Scale Trap

A bathtub, lake or canal is far too small compared with Earth’s radius for curvature to be obvious by eye. The same logic that makes a small patch of Earth look flat also makes a small patch of ocean look flat.

## Better Question

Instead of asking whether water “looks flat,” ask whether large-scale water systems match global measurements: tides, sea-level datums, satellite altimetry, geodesy and long-distance navigation.

## Observation Recipe: Local Level vs Global Curve

Hold a level on a table, then imagine extending that local plane for hundreds of kilometers. On a globe, every nearby point has its own local “down,” so local level changes direction gradually around Earth.

A practical way to test this is through surveying and geodesy. Large projects cannot use a single infinite flat plane without correction. Over long distances, surveyors account for curvature, gravity, and sea-level reference surfaces.

## What the Phrase Gets Right

Water does settle. It does form a surface that is level at each point. The error is assuming local level means globally flat. A small section of a very large curve can be level locally without being a plane forever.

## Better Analogy

“Down” is radial, not parallel everywhere. Two people standing far apart both feel upright, but their vertical directions are not perfectly parallel. Water follows the same gravity field.

## Quick Reply

**Water finds its level — and level follows gravity.** On a planet-sized body, that means locally flat-looking and globally curved.

# Time Zones and Solar Noon

Time zones are a simple everyday clue that Earth is rotating. Different longitudes face the Sun at different times, so local solar noon moves predictably around the globe.

## Solar Noon

Solar noon is when the Sun reaches its highest point in the local sky. It does not occur everywhere at once. For every 15 degrees of longitude, solar noon shifts by about one hour.

## Why This Challenges Flat-Earth Models

A nearby local Sun over a flat plane has to explain why sunrise, sunset, solar noon, daylight duration and Sun angle all vary in coordinated ways across Earth. These patterns are not random; they match a rotating sphere illuminated by a distant Sun.

## Simple Observation

Compare two cities at very different longitudes on the same date. Their clocks, sunrise times, sunset times and solar noon times line up with longitude differences. The prediction is simple and repeatable.

## Common Confusion

Clock time is political and adjusted by time zones, daylight saving time and national borders. Solar time is physical. The key comparison is longitude versus the Sun's apparent position, not the label on a clock.

## Observation Recipe: Solar Noon Map

---

Choose three cities on roughly the same latitude but different longitudes. Look up or measure local solar noon for each. The times should shift predictably with longitude: about one hour for each 15 degrees.

## Why Sunrise and Sunset Are Stronger Together

---

A flat-earth explanation must account not only for time zones, but for the full pattern: sunrise direction, sunset direction, daylight length, solar noon, seasonal changes, and polar day/night. These observations vary smoothly by latitude and longitude on a globe.

## Common Claim: “Time Zones Are Just Man-Made”

---

Clock zones are man-made. Solar noon is not. Governments can choose clock labels, but they cannot make the Sun reach its highest point everywhere at the same moment.

## Claim Lab Question

---

Can the proposed flat-earth model predict tomorrow’s sunrise, sunset, and solar noon for many cities before checking an almanac? Prediction is where models prove their worth.

## Interactive Solar Noon Lab

---

This tool shows the rough longitude-to-solar-noon relationship: about 15 degrees per hour.

<https://wiki.flatearthabsurdity.com/tools/solar-noon-longitude-lab/>

# Economics of a Hypothetical Globe Deception

A global deception claim is not just a science claim. It is also an economics, logistics, and incentives claim. If millions of independent people and institutions would need to coordinate, the theory has to explain why the system does not leak, fracture, compete, or become more profitable by exposing itself.

## The Burden of the Claim

---

It is not enough to say “NASA lies.” A serious globe-deception hypothesis must explain independent agreement across astronomy, surveying, aviation, shipping, telecommunications, geophysics, meteorology, education, private aerospace, amateur observation, and international rivals.

## Who Would Have to Be Managed?

---

### Private satellite customers

Universities, companies, and governments buy launches and operate payloads. These customers pay for working data. Fake orbital claims would create contract, insurance, and performance failures.

### Aviation and shipping

Routes, fuel planning, navigation, and timing depend on Earth-scale geometry. Bad models waste money immediately, and competitors would exploit any cheaper true model.

# Surveying and infrastructure

Large bridges, tunnels, pipelines, and mapping systems use geodesy. Construction errors become expensive, visible, and legally actionable.

# Telecommunications

Satellite TV, GNSS timing, weather data, and remote links serve paying users. Service reliability is measured by customers who do not care about ideology.

# Academia and amateurs

Students, hobby astronomers, ham radio operators, photographers, and educators repeat observations. Independent replication creates too many uncontrolled witnesses.

# Rival nations

Competing governments track launches, satellites, missiles, and signals. Geopolitical rivals have incentives to expose strategic deception.

# The Incentive Problem

---

A conspiracy gets harder to maintain when many participants can gain status, money, or power by revealing it. A true flat Earth would be the biggest discovery in history. The first credible whistleblower, company, university, or country to prove it would gain enormous attention and leverage.

# The Customer Problem

---

Modern space and geospatial industries are not funded only by one agency. They include private launch customers, satellite manufacturers, insurers, universities, weather services, telecom providers, navigation companies, agriculture platforms, and defense contractors. These groups buy outcomes: data, timing, imagery, communication, positioning, and launch delivery. If those outcomes were fake, the failures would appear in invoices, lawsuits, missed service levels, and broken products.

## The Coordination Problem

---

A deception this broad would require incompatible groups to coordinate perfectly: rival militaries, competing corporations, independent researchers, hobbyists, educators, and open-source communities. They would need to fake not only images but also measurements, predictions, instruments, standards, software, maps, radio signals, and the ordinary observations people can repeat.

## A Better Test

---

When a claim requires a conspiracy, ask three questions:

1. **Who specifically benefits?** Not vaguely — who gets paid, protected, or empowered?
2. **Who specifically could profit by exposing it?** Rivals, journalists, companies, governments, scientists, insiders?
3. **What independent systems would fail if the false model were used?** Navigation, timing, engineering, weather, communications?

If the explanation requires every failure to be hidden and every independent success to be fake, it has stopped explaining reality and started protecting itself from reality.

## Interactive Conspiracy Scale Estimator

---

The exact numbers are debatable. The useful move is to make the hidden assumption visible: how many sectors, rivals, years, customers, and independent observers would have to be managed?

<https://wiki.flatearthabsurdity.com/tools/conspiracy-scale-estimator/>

# Solar Noon Longitude Challenge

Solar noon is an excellent claim-lab topic because it is predictable, repeatable, and independent of space imagery. Longitudes do not all face the Sun at the same time.

<https://wiki.flatearthabsurdity.com/tools/solar-noon-longitude-lab/>

## The Challenge

---

Choose two cities with very different longitudes. Before checking an almanac, predict the approximate solar-noon offset using 15 degrees per hour. Then compare with USNO or another almanac source.

## Why This Beats Clock Arguments

---

Time zones are political. Solar noon is physical. A government can redraw a time-zone boundary, but it cannot make the Sun reach its highest point in New York and London at the same moment.

# Satellite and Signal Reality Check

Satellite claims are useful because they leave public, practical traces: visible passes, radio signals, tracking predictions, customer services, weather imagery, timing systems, and amateur reports.

## Try a Visible Pass

---

Use a satellite-pass prediction site such as Heavens-Above. Enter your location, choose a visible satellite or the ISS, and write down the predicted direction, time, brightness, and path. Then go outside and check.

## Try Amateur Radio Evidence

---

Amateur radio operators track and use satellites with public pass predictions and open reports. AMSAT status pages show reports from operators around the world. This is valuable because it is not one agency publishing a picture; it is many hobbyists reporting practical signal behavior.

## What a Flat Model Must Explain

---

- Why passes occur only at predicted times and directions for specific locations.
- Why Doppler shift changes during a pass.
- Why different observers see different pass geometries.
- Why radio links begin and end as if a moving object passes over the horizon.
- Why commercial services, amateur reports, and orbital predictions agree.

# Claim Lab Question

---

If satellites are fake or balloon-like, what should happen to pass timing, signal strength, Doppler shift, and visibility as observers move to different locations?

# Influencer Claim Lab

Flat-earth content on X tends to repeat a small number of claim patterns across different personalities. This lab treats those posts as prompts, not as enemies: state the claim, identify the implied model, ask what it predicts, and compare it with observations ordinary readers can check.

## Why Target Influencer Claims?

---

Popular accounts matter because they compress long arguments into shareable hooks. A single phrase such as “we see too far” or “water finds its level” can travel farther than a careful explanation. The answer is not to sneer; it is to turn the hook back into a testable claim.

## Accounts and Content Streams Worth Watching

---

- **Eric Dubay / IFERS:** “200 proofs,” NASA fakery, no curvature, fake space, local Sun/Moon, and anti-mainstream “zetetic” framing.
- **Flat Earth Dave:** Sun, Moon and Zodiac Clock app, geocentric flat-earth framing, “we can see too far,” local luminaries, and religious/cosmological messaging.
- **Mark Sargent:** dome/enclosure narratives, “clues,” staged space claims, Antarctica as barrier, and expert-interview storytelling.
- **Nathan Thompson:** street activism, Bible flat-earth claims, NASA denial, and “you’ve been lied to” messaging.
- **Austin Witsit:** debate clips, aether/cosmology language, anti-heliocentric framing, and technical-sounding critiques of astronomy.
- **Flat Earth Society:** older “zetetic” material, universal acceleration, forums/wiki resources, and a wide range of mutually inconsistent flat-earth schools.

# The Repeated Pattern

---

1. **Start with an intuition:** “The ground looks flat,” “water looks level,” “I do not feel motion.”
2. **Turn intuition into certainty:** ordinary scale impressions are treated as global geometry.
3. **Reject conflicting evidence as institutional fraud:** space agencies, universities, observatories, pilots, sailors, surveyors, telecom engineers, and amateur astronomers are grouped into one vague deception.
4. **Avoid full-model predictions:** many posts attack the globe without giving a flat model that predicts sun angles, stars, eclipses, routes, distances, tides, and satellite behavior together.

## What This Lab Will Do

---

Each page will isolate a claim family, show the strongest simple version of the claim, identify the test it must pass, and then compare predictions. If an influencer offers a tool or diagram, the question becomes: does it predict reality, or only visualize a belief?

## Start Here

---

- [Flat Earth Dave's Clock App: Visualization vs Prediction](#)
- [Eric Dubay's 200 Proofs: The Repeated Claim Patterns](#)
- [We See Too Far: Curvature, Refraction, and Hidden Amount](#)
- [Local Sun Model: The Tests It Cannot Pass](#)

## Model Scorecards: Next Targets

---

The lab now includes a second tier of direct scorecards for claims that go beyond simple memes and try to imply alternate world structure.

- [Flat Map Distance Problem: Routes, South Hemisphere, and AE Projection](#)
- [Mark Sargent's Dome and Antarctica Claims: Story vs Measurement](#)
- [Austin Witsit and Technical Cosmology: Aether, Stars, and Predictions](#)
- [Nathan Thompson's Street Claims: From Confrontation to Testable Claim](#)
- [Flat Earth Society's Universal Acceleration: The Gravity Replacement Problem](#)

## Shareable Rebuttal Cards

---

The influencer lab now has a fast-response layer: [generate a compact rebuttal card](#), browse [common claim cards](#), or use the [X Reply Playbook](#).

# Flat Earth Dave's Clock App: Visualization vs Prediction

Flat Earth Dave's Sun, Moon and Zodiac Clock app is one of the clearest flat-earth "model" artifacts because it gives people something visual to look at. That makes it valuable to examine fairly: the issue is not whether the animation is memorable, but whether it predicts observations.

## The Claim

---

The app presents a geocentric flat-earth layout where the Sun, Moon, and zodiac move over a flat world. In that framing, the conventional globe model is described as a faith-based system while the clock app is offered as a way to visualize the "true" movement of the sky.

## The Fair Test

---

A model of the Sun should answer ordinary questions before the observation happens:

- When will sunrise and sunset occur at this latitude and longitude?
- What compass direction will sunrise and sunset appear from?
- What will the Sun's highest altitude be at local solar noon?
- How long will the day be?
- What happens near the Arctic and Antarctic circles during solstices?
- Why does the Sun keep nearly the same angular size through the day?

## Where the Clock-App Style Model Struggles

---

# 1. Animation Is Not Prediction

A moving dot over a map can feel explanatory, but a scientific model must output numbers that can be checked. “The Sun circles above us” is not enough unless it produces the correct time, direction, angle, and duration for observers around Earth.

# 2. Angular Size Problem

If the Sun is nearby and moving across a flat plane, its distance from an observer should change substantially during the day. A large distance change should cause a visible angular-size change. In reality, the Sun remains about half a degree wide.

# 3. Southern Hemisphere Geometry

On common flat maps, southern latitudes are stretched around the outside. The December Sun must somehow give long, high summer days across South America, southern Africa, Australia, and Antarctica while still matching local directions and timing.

# 4. Polar Day and Night

Any local-Sun model must reproduce months of continuous daylight and darkness near the poles. A simple spotlight circling above a disk does not naturally produce the observed polar patterns without extra assumptions.

# 5. Borrowed Accuracy

If an app uses conventional astronomical tables to place the Sun and Moon, it may inherit globe-model predictive math while displaying a flat-earth picture. That would make it a visualization skin, not an independent flat-earth model.

# Try the Checker

---

Use this tool to compare date/location predictions and identify what a flat local-Sun model would need to explain.

<https://wiki.flat-earth-absurdity.com/tools/flat-sun-prediction-checker/>

## Bottom Line

---

The clock app is rhetorically effective because it gives the eye a simple story. But a simple story is not the same as a predictive model. The burden is to match sunrise, sunset, Sun angle, day length, seasons, polar behavior, eclipses, and southern skies with one coherent geometry.

# Eric Dubay's 200 Proofs: The Repeated Claim Patterns

Eric Dubay's "200 proofs" style is influential because it overwhelms the reader with quantity. The best response is not to answer 200 items as if each were independent. Many are variations of the same few mistakes.

## The Main Pattern

---

The list repeatedly turns local intuition into global conclusion: the horizon looks flat, water looks level, motion is not felt, photos can be distrusted, and institutions can be dismissed. These are not 200 independent proofs; they are clusters of repeated claims.

## Cluster 1: Horizon and Curvature

---

**Claim family:** the horizon looks flat, rises to eye level, and distant objects are visible beyond expected curvature.

**Problem:** curvature at human height is subtle, horizon behavior depends on observer height, and long-distance visibility requires target height, distance, refraction, and whether the bottom is hidden.

**Direct test:** make a measured observation with known observer height, target height, distance, and atmospheric conditions; predict hidden amount before looking.

## Cluster 2: Water and Level

---

**Claim family:** water cannot curve because water finds its level.

**Problem:** “level” means perpendicular to local gravity, not parallel to one universal plane. The ocean can be locally level everywhere and globally curved.

## Cluster 3: Motion and Feeling

---

**Claim family:** if Earth spins and orbits, we should feel the motion.

**Problem:** humans feel acceleration, not constant velocity. Earth’s rotational effects are small but measurable, and orbital motion is close to free fall around the Sun.

## Cluster 4: Space Agency Distrust

---

**Claim family:** if NASA images are composited or distrusted, the globe collapses.

**Problem:** Earth’s shape does not depend on NASA. Shadows, eclipses, navigation, geodesy, star fields, time zones, and circumnavigation all predate or operate independently of NASA.

## Cluster 5: No Coherent Replacement

---

The most important issue is not whether a single globe explanation can be questioned. It is whether the proposed replacement predicts all observations together. A long list of objections is not a model.

## How to Read a “Proof”

---

1. Turn the proof into one sentence.
2. Ask what the flat model predicts numerically.
3. Ask what the globe model predicts numerically.

4. Check which prediction survives repeatable observation.

# We See Too Far: Curvature, Refraction, and Hidden Amount

“We see too far” is one of the most common X-era flat-earth claims. It is popular because it uses real photos and videos, but the conclusion usually outruns the measurement.

## The Claim

---

Distant buildings, mountains, boats, or shorelines are visible when a simple curvature calculator says they should be hidden, so Earth must be flat.

## What the Claim Must Include

---

A serious visibility claim needs all of these:

- Observer height above water or ground
- Target height
- Distance
- Camera height, zoom, and lens information
- Atmospheric conditions and possible refraction
- Whether the bottom of the object is visible or hidden

## The Hidden-Bottom Test

---

The globe prediction is not simply “the whole object vanishes.” It is that the lower part becomes hidden first. Seeing the top of a distant skyline while the base is missing is evidence for curvature, not against it.

## Refraction Is Not a Cheat Code

---

Atmospheric refraction bends light. Sometimes it lets us see farther than simple no-atmosphere geometry predicts. This does not make Earth flat; it means light travels through air, and air has density gradients.

## Why Viral Examples Mislead

---

- **Telephoto compression** makes distant objects look closer and flatter.
- **Mirage layers** can stretch, lift, or duplicate distant features.
- **Partial obstruction** is often ignored when only the visible top is discussed.
- **Wrong observer height** can change the expected horizon substantially.

## The Direct Debunk

---

If someone says “we see too far,” ask for the measurement packet. Without observer height, target height, distance, and refraction context, the claim is not a proof. With those values included, the observation usually becomes a normal curvature-plus-atmosphere problem.

# Local Sun Model: The Tests It Cannot Pass

The local Sun model tries to explain day and night by putting a nearby Sun above a flat Earth, often moving in a circle like a spotlight. It is visually simple, but it fails when asked to predict the sky from many places at once.

## Test 1: Sunsets

---

If the Sun is nearby and moving away over a plane, it should shrink with distance and remain above the horizon unless special perspective rules are invented. Real sunsets show the Sun crossing the horizon while keeping nearly the same angular size.

## Test 2: Sunrise and Sunset Directions

---

The compass direction of sunrise and sunset changes with latitude and date in a predictable way. A local Sun model must reproduce those directions for all observers, not just draw a light circle on a map.

## Test 3: Seasons

---

Seasons are not just warmer and colder feelings. They include day length, solar-noon altitude, polar day/night, and opposite seasons between hemispheres. These patterns fit Earth's axial tilt and orbit.

# Test 4: Time Zones

---

Different longitudes experience solar noon at different times. On a globe, the relationship is simple: about one hour per 15 degrees of longitude. A flat model must preserve that timing while also matching directions and Sun angles.

# Test 5: Eclipses

---

Solar and lunar eclipses are predicted years in advance. A local Sun and Moon model must predict not just that eclipses occur, but their exact timing, path, duration, and visibility from different locations.

# Test 6: Southern Skies

---

Observers across the southern hemisphere see a coherent southern sky. A flat Earth with a local overhead Sun must also explain the stars, not just daylight.

# Use the Checker

---

<https://wiki.flatearthabsurdity.com/tools/flat-sun-prediction-checker/>

# Bottom Line

---

The local Sun model survives as a drawing because it stays vague. When forced to make the same kind of predictions that almanacs, navigators, photographers, farmers, astronomers, and ordinary observers use every day, it collapses into exceptions.



# Flat Earth Influencer Themes and Direct Tests

This page is a quick index of recurring influencer themes and the most direct test for each one.

Theme	Common hook	Direct test	Main flaw
Curvature denial	"We see too far."	Measure observer height, target height, distance, refraction, and hidden bottom.	Uses incomplete photos as geometry.
Water level	"Water always finds its level."	Define level as local perpendicular to gravity; compare local and global scale.	Confuses local tangent plane with universal flatness.
No felt motion	"Earth spins 1,000 mph."	Calculate acceleration, not speed; compare with measurable effects.	Confuses velocity with acceleration.
Local Sun	"The Sun circles above us."	Predict sunrise/sunset direction, solar noon altitude, day length, angular size.	Draws a motion but does not preserve observations.
Space fakery	"NASA lies."	Use pre-spaceflight and independent evidence: shadows, stars, eclipses, navigation.	Overloads one institution with all evidence.
Antarctica barrier	"They guard the ice wall."	Predict routes, distances, circumnavigation, seasons, and southern skies.	Turns logistical difficulty into hidden-world proof.

The site's stance is simple: name the claim, avoid personal pile-ons, and make the model do predictive work.

# Flat Map Distance Problem: Routes, South Hemisphere, and AE Projection

The most common flat-earth map is the north-pole azimuthal equidistant projection: the North Pole in the center, Antarctica around the outside. It is a real map projection, but a projection is not a world model. It preserves some relationships while distorting others.

## The Claim

---

Flat-earth diagrams often treat the azimuthal equidistant map as if it shows the true layout of the world.

## The Direct Test

---

If the map is literal, distances between cities should work. They do not. The map preserves distance from the center point, but it badly distorts distances between non-central points, especially across southern latitudes.

<https://wiki.flatearthabsurdity.com/tools/flat-map-distance-checker/>

## Why Southern Routes Matter

---

On the common flat map, Australia, South America, and southern Africa are stretched around the outer ring. That makes routes between southern cities absurdly long compared with real-world flight and shipping distances.

## What a Real Model Must Preserve

---

- Observed travel times and fuel requirements
- Shipping routes and emergency alternates
- Time zones and solar-noon progression
- Southern hemisphere star visibility
- Antarctic circumnavigation and research logistics

## Bottom Line

---

A projection can be useful without being physically literal. Turning a projection into a flat Earth creates distance failures that daily aviation, navigation, shipping, and astronomy already test.

# Mark Sargent's Dome and Antarctica Claims: Story vs Measurement

Mark Sargent-style flat-earth content is often persuasive because it feels like a mystery narrative: clues, barriers, hidden authorities, Antarctica, domes, and staged space. The problem is that a story is not yet a model.

## The Claim Pattern

---

- Earth is enclosed or dome-like.
- Antarctica is not a normal continent but a boundary/barrier.
- Space imagery and exploration are staged or controlled.
- Specialized institutions know more than the public is allowed to see.

## The Testable Questions

---

Antarctica claims become meaningful only when they answer measurement questions:

- What is the predicted circumference of the alleged outer barrier?
- What distances should flights and ships measure along southern routes?
- How should 24-hour Antarctic daylight work in December?
- What should observers in Australia, South Africa, Chile, and Antarctica see in the southern sky at the same time?
- What route should an east-west Antarctic circumnavigation follow?

# The Distance Problem

---

If Antarctica is the outer rim on a north-pole-centered flat map, southern distances inflate dramatically. That is not a minor cartography issue; it breaks logistics.

# The Dome Problem

---

A dome claim must specify optics. How high is the dome? How do light paths bend? Why do stars, planets, satellites, meteors, eclipses, and radio signals behave with repeatable geometry? Without numbers, the dome is a narrative container, not an explanatory model.

# Direct Debunk

---

The Antarctica/dome story can absorb many mysteries, but it does not predict enough. Once distances, polar daylight, southern stars, and navigation are placed on the table, the story has to become engineering. That is where it fails.

# Austin Witsit and Technical Cosmology: Aether, Stars, and Predictions

Austin Witsit-style arguments often sound more technical than meme-based flat-earth claims. They use terms like aether, geocentrism, epistemology, presuppositions, and anti-heliocentric critique. That makes them worth answering carefully.

## The Claim Pattern

---

The move is usually to attack assumptions behind the globe/heliocentric model, then present an alternative vocabulary that sounds physically deep but remains underspecified.

## Technical Language Is Not Enough

---

A technical model earns its status by making risky predictions. If “aether” or “geocentrism” can be adjusted to fit any result after the fact, it is not doing the same work as a quantitative model.

## Southern Stars Are a Hard Test

---

Observers in the southern hemisphere see a coherent celestial pole and matching star rotations. Multiple southern observers can look south at the same time and see the same sky structure from different longitudes. Flat maps struggle to place that sky consistently.

# Time Zones and Solar Noon

---

A rival cosmology must also reproduce the ordinary clockwork of solar noon: about one hour shift per 15 degrees of longitude, with seasonal altitude changes by latitude.

## The Prediction Standard

---

1. Give coordinates and date/time.
2. Predict Sun altitude, sunrise/sunset direction, and star positions.
3. Predict the result before the observation.
4. Use one geometry for all locations, not a custom explanation per case.

## Direct Debunk

---

Calling the globe “assumption-based” does not rescue an alternative that refuses numerical predictions. The way out of philosophy fog is simple: put the sky on a schedule and see which model arrives on time.

# Nathan Thompson's Street Claims: From Confrontation to Testable Claim

Nathan Thompson-style flat-earth activism often happens in public-facing, confrontational, or identity-driven formats: flyers, street conversations, Bible claims, NASA accusations, and “you’ve been lied to” messaging.

## The Claim Pattern

---

- Authority distrust is treated as evidence.
- Scriptural interpretation is presented as physical geography.
- NASA is used as a stand-in for all globe evidence.
- Confrontation creates a feeling of suppressed truth.

## Separate Meaning from Measurement

---

People can debate theology, symbolism, and authority. But a physical Earth claim still has to answer physical observations: shadows, stars, time zones, seasons, routes, eclipses, tides, and radio/satellite behavior.

## The NASA Shortcut

---

“NASA lies” is not enough. The globe does not depend on one agency. It is supported by pre-spaceflight astronomy, maritime navigation, surveying, amateur observations, telecom infrastructure, meteorology, and independent space programs.

## A Better Conversation Format

---

1. Ask for one claim, not a stack.
2. Write down what each model predicts.
3. Pick an observation an ordinary person can repeat.
4. Agree in advance what result would change the conclusion.

## Direct Debunk

---

A street claim can start a conversation, but it cannot finish one. Once the claim becomes measurable, identity pressure stops mattering and prediction takes over.

# Flat Earth Society's Universal Acceleration: The Gravity Replacement Problem

Some Flat Earth Society material replaces gravity with “universal acceleration”: Earth accelerates upward at about  $9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$ , creating the feeling of weight. Many modern flat-earththers reject this, but it remains useful because it shows what happens when a flat model tries to replace gravity.

## The Claim

---

Instead of objects falling because Earth attracts them gravitationally, the flat Earth accelerates upward into objects. Locally, this can mimic the feeling of weight.

## Problem 1: Speed Builds Without Limit

---

Continuous acceleration of  $9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$  quickly reaches relativistic speeds. A defender can invoke relativity, but then the model becomes much more complex than the simple slogan.

## Problem 2: Gravity Varies by Location

---

Measured gravitational acceleration is not identical everywhere. It varies with latitude, altitude, and local geology. Universal acceleration alone does not naturally explain these variations.

# Problem 3: It Does Not Explain Orbits

---

Gravity explains falling objects, tides, orbital motion, planetary paths, and satellite trajectories within one framework. Universal acceleration mainly tries to explain downward weight sensation.

# Problem 4: No Mechanism

---

What accelerates the entire Earth upward? Through what medium? Why at that rate? Why does it also coordinate with celestial observations?

# Direct Debunk

---

Universal acceleration is a patch for one local experience: objects fall. It does not replace the broader predictive role of gravity across tides, orbits, geodesy, pendulums, and measured variation in apparent weight.